

Comparison of Some RANS Solvers

Lukas Spies, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign

Rocky Mountain Fluid Mechanics Symposium August 9, 2022

RANS equations

• Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) equations

$$A\boldsymbol{u} + N(\boldsymbol{u}) + B^T p = \boldsymbol{f} \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \begin{bmatrix} F & B^T \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{u} \\ p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{f} \\ g \end{pmatrix}$$

- Mixing length model Mortensen et al. (2011)
- Channel flow Young (2022)



Exact Solution



Exact Solution



Solvers

- Linearization of nonlinear part required
- Typical choice for solver: Krylov + preconditioner
- Preconditioner needs to be chosen carefully:
 - Pressure-convection-diffusion (PCD)
 - Semi-Implicit pressure linked equation (SIMPLE)
 - Reordering of degrees of freedom with incomplete LU (ILU)
- Frameworks: FEniCS and Firedrake



https://fenicsproject.org/



https://www.firedrakeproject.org/

Preconditioners

• LDU decomposition of coefficient matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} F & B^T \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} = L_b^{\flat := \text{block}} U_b = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ BF^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & F^{-1}B^T \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$$

with Schur complement $S = -BF^{-1}B^{T}$.

• Preconditioners based on factors

$$D_b U_b = \begin{bmatrix} F & B^T \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$$
 or $L_b D_b = \begin{bmatrix} F & 0 \\ B & S \end{bmatrix}$

with some approximation of S.

Pressure-Convection-Diffusion (PCD)

Kay et al. (2002)

• Based on combination of D_b and U_b

$$P_t = D_b U_b = \begin{bmatrix} F & B^T \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$$

- PCD algorithm: Segal et al. (2010)
 - 1. Compute $[r_u; r_p] = P_t[u; p]$
 - 2. Solve $Sp = r_p$
 - 3. Update $r_u = r_u B^T p$
 - 4. Solve $Fu = r_u$

with $S \approx \hat{S} = -A_p F_p^{-1} Q_p$

Pressure-Convection-Diffusion (PCD)

Kay et al. (2002)



SIMPLE

Patankar (1980) Wesseling (2001) Rehman et al. (2009)

• Based on combination of L_b and D_b

$$L_{bt} = L_b D_b = \begin{bmatrix} F & 0\\ B & S \end{bmatrix}$$

• SIMPLE algorithm: Rehman et al. (2009)

Splitting derived from solving $L_{bt}[u; p] = [r_u; r_p]$ results in algorithm

- 1. Solve $Fu^* = r_u$
- 2. Solve $S\delta p = r_p Bu^*$
- 3. Update $u = u^* D^{-1}B^T \delta p$
- 4. Update $p = \delta p$

with D = diag(F) and $S \approx \hat{S} = -BD^{-1}B^{T}$

ILU with reordering

Segal (2010)

- (Reverse) Cuthill-McKee ordering of degrees of freedom
- Avoids zero pivots while having comparable profile to node-wise ordering





ILU with reordering

Segal (2010)



Conclusions and Next Steps

- Performance and reliability highly dependent on solver and preconditioner
- Which one is working "better"?
- Short-term goal:
 - Comprehensive understanding of available solvers and their performance
- Long-term goal:
 - Create performant preconditioner applicable to RANS equations using:
 - Multigrid algorithms
 - Vanka- and Braess-Sarazin-style smoothers

Thank you

www.nrel.gov

NREL/PR-2C00-83504

This work was authored by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided by U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science Advanced Scientific Computing Research. A portion of this research was performed using computational resources sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy and located at the National Renewable Energy Laboratory. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

Transforming ENERGY